BAYES AND EQUIVARIANT ESTIMATORS OF THE VARIANCE OF A FINITE POPULATION

BY

S. ZACKS and H. SOLOMON

TECHNICAL REPORT NO. 29
FEBRUARY 21, 1979

PREPARED UNDER GRANT

DAAG29-77-G-0031

FOR THE U.S. ARMY RESEARCH OFFICE

Reproduction in Whole or in Part is Permitted for any purpose of the United States Government Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

DEPARTMENT OF STATISTICS
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
STANFORD, CALIFORNIA



Bayes and Equivariant Estimators of the Variance of a Finite Population

By

S. Zacks and H. Solomon

Case Western Reserve University

and

Stanford University

TECHNICAL REPORT NO. 29 February 21, 1979

Prepared under Grant DAAG29-77-G-0031 For the U.S. Army Research Office Herbert Solomon, Project Director

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

DEPARTMENT OF STATISTICS STANFORD UNIVERSITY STANFORD, CALIFORNIA

Partially supported under Office of Naval Research Contract N00014-76-C-0475 (NR-042-267) and issued as Technical Report No. 268, and the Office of Naval Research, Contract N00014-75-C-0529, Project NR-042-276, at Case Western Reserve University.

The findings in this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position, unless so designated by other authorized documents.

Bayes and Equivariant Estimators of the Variance of a Finite Population

By

S. Zacks and H. Solomon

O. Introduction.

Let x_1, \dots, x_N be the values of a variable x that measures a characteristic in a finite population of N elements. Let

(0.1)
$$\mu = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} x_i, \quad \sigma_N^2 = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (x_i - \mu)^2,$$

be the population mean and variance of the measurements. In the present paper the problem of estimating σ_N^2 on the basis of a sample X_1,\dots,X_n , $2 \le n \le N$, from the population is studied. The commonly used estimator is the sample variance $\tilde{\sigma}_n^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \overline{X}_n)^2$, where $\overline{X}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ is the sample mean. It is well known that $\tilde{\sigma}_n^2$ is an unbiased estimator of $\frac{N}{N-1} \sigma^2$, under simple random sampling.

In the present study the "unbiased" estimator $\hat{\sigma}_n^2$ is replaced by $\hat{\sigma}_n^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \overline{X}_n)^2$, which is called the "classical" estimator. The two estimators are nearly equivalent if the sample size is not trivially small. The "classical" estimator does not utilize any prior information on σ^2 that may be often available. There are many examples of repetitive sampling surveys, in agricultural or industrial areas, in which good information is available on the distribution of the seasonal or yearly yield (production) of a certain commodity. Samples may be taken during the season to observe the distribution of related characteristics that may improve the forecasting of a population value. Estimates of the variance in the population could be adjusted adaptively in order to imporve the prediction (confidence) intervals for population parameters.

In this paper we show how such prior information on the mean and variance of the population can be utilized to adjust the "classical" estimator. Specifically, by utilizing the special structure of the sample survey theoretical model and of the likelihood function we derive the general form of Bayes and Bayes Equivariant estimators. It is shown that for any prior distribution, H, of independent identically distributed variables x_1, \ldots, x_N , having a prior mean μ_0 and prior variance σ_0^2 , the Bayes estimator of σ_0^2 for squared-error loss is (approximately)

(0.2)
$$\hat{\sigma}_{B}^{2} = \frac{n}{N} \hat{\sigma}_{n}^{2} + (1 - \frac{n}{N}) [\sigma_{O}^{2} + \frac{n}{N} (\overline{X}_{n} - \mu_{O})^{2}].$$

Estimator (0.2) does not depend on the sampling procedure. This Bayes estimator is a weighted average of the "classical" estimator, $\hat{\sigma}_n^2$, based on the observed sample and the Bayes estimator of the "within" variance in the unobserved portion of the population and the estimator of the variance between the means of the observed and unobserved portions of the population. The estimator (0.2) could well be found very meaningful and good also in a non-Bayesian sense by considering μ_0 and σ_0^2 as proper estimates (or quesses) of the mean and variance of the unobserved part of the population.

Equivariant estimators of the variance σ_N^2 are considered with respect to the group β of real affine transformations on the parameter space $x^{(N)}$ of (x_1,\ldots,x_N) . It is shown that every equivariant estimator of σ_N^2 can be expressed in the general form $\hat{\sigma}_n^2 \psi(\underline{u}_n)$, where $\psi(\underline{u}_n)$ is a proper function of the maximal invariant statistic, which is the vector of standardized sample values. Bayes equivariant estimators are studied, with respect to the quadratic loss function $L(\hat{\sigma}_n^2 \psi(\underline{u}_n) \sigma_N^2) = (\hat{\sigma}_n^2 \psi(\underline{u}_n) - \sigma_N^2)^2/\hat{\sigma}_n^4$. In contrast

to the case of determining Bayes estimators, the form of the Bayes equivariant estimator depends strongly on the particular prior distribution specified for x_1, \ldots, x_N . For example, it is shown that

(0.3)
$$\sigma_{BE}^{2} = \sigma_{n}^{2} (1 - \frac{3}{N}) (1 + \frac{3}{n-3}) = \sigma_{n}^{2} \frac{n}{n-3} + O(\frac{1}{N})$$
,

is the Bayes equivariant estimator for prior normal i.i.d. variables, regardless of their prior mean and variance. The above formula (0.3) is relatively simple. It depends only on δ_n^2 and does not depend on u_n . This is not always the case, as shown by Zacks [16] in the case of exponentially distributed i.i.d. variates.

In Section 1 we introduce the sample survey model and discuss sample statistics and likelihood functions. The Bayesian approach extending the sample survey model is discussed in Section 2. Sections 3 and 4 define and analyze equivariant estimators, Bayesian concepts and loss functions. Bavesian measures of relative efficiency are introduced in Section 5. We provide a numerical example in which fifty populations of size N = 100 were simulated from an exponential distribution. From each such population a sample of size n = 10 was drawn and the estimators $\hat{\sigma}_n^2$ and $\hat{\sigma}_R^2$ were computed. It is interesting to observe the extent to which the Bayes estimator $\sigma_{\rm B}^2$ is more effective than the classical estimator $\sigma_{\rm n}^2$ in small samples. Estimate of their Bayes relative efficiency is provided in that example. General efficiency analysis is provided for prior normal distributions. It is shown that the classical estimator is considerably less efficient than the Bayes estimator. Some sensitivity analysis is performed to study the effects of erroneous prior parameters on the relative efficiency.

There are only a few published papers on the Bayesian estimation of the variance of a finite population. Liu [9] considered unbiased estimators of σ_N^2 under various possible sampling designs. Since the sampling variance of these estimators depends on the population values $\mathbf{x}_1,\dots,\mathbf{x}_N$, Liu considered the Bayes risk of these estimators. He derived a lower bound to the Bayes risk function and thus showed the optimality of the Horwitz-Thompson type estimator, under certain conditions. We remark that Liu's study is not really a Bayesian study, since proper Bayes estimators are independent of the sampling design and are generally not unbiased. Royall [10], [11] and Royall and Cumberland [12] studied the problem of developing confidence intervals of the population variance by regression estimates. We cannot compare their results with ours since the problems are different and so are the approaches.

2. Foundations.

Consider a finite population of size N whose units have values (real finite) x_1, \dots, x_N . According to the modern theory of sampling surveys (see Godambe [5,6,7], Basu [2] and others) the population vector $x_N = (x_1, \dots, x_N)$ is considered a parametric point in a parameter space $x_N^{(N)}$, which belongs to the Euclidean N-space. In the present paper a sample, $x_N^{(N)}$, of size $x_N^{(N)}$, designates a subvector of $x_N^{(N)}$ consisting of n components $x_N^{(N)} = x_N^{(N)} = x_N^{(N)}$, where $x_N^{(N)} = x_N^{(N)} = x_N^{(N)}$, and $x_N^{(N)} = x_N^{(N)} = x_N^{(N)}$, are chosen. In a non-Bayesian theory of sampling surveys one has to introduce probability functions

 $P(\underline{s})$ on the sample space, λ , of all possible samples, in order to discuss random samples. In a Bayesian theory the parametric vector $\mathbf{x}_{\mathbb{N}}$ is considered a random vector having a prior joint distribution $H(x_N)$ on $x^{(N)}$. According to this approach, the population vector, \mathbf{x}_{N} , is a realization of a sample from a "superpopulation", generated (like in a Monte Carlo procedure) according to $\mathrm{H}(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbb{N}})$. According to this approach, given any sample $s = \langle x_i, \dots, x_i \rangle$, the joint prior distribution of $\stackrel{\mathtt{s}}{\sim}$ can be derived from $\mathtt{H}(\stackrel{\mathtt{x}}{\sim}_{\mathtt{N}})$ and the posterior joint distribution of $x_{N-n}^* = \langle x_{\nu}; \nu \notin s \rangle$ and is <u>independent</u> of the sampling probability function P(s), which is immaterial for a Bayesian analysis (see Solomon and Zacks (1970)). For this reason we will assume in what follows, without loss of generality, that the sample consists of the subvector $\mathbf{x}_n = (\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n)$ and $\mathbf{x}_{N-n}^* = (\mathbf{x}_{n+1}, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N)$. If $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N$ are assumed to be priorly independent and identically distributed then any sample \tilde{s} can be considered a simple random sample from H, as in the classical model of inference.

The estimation problem is that of estimating a specified parametric function $\theta(x_N)$ of the population vector (e.g. the population mean, variance, etc.).

3. Estimators of the Population Variance.

3.1 General Structure.

Let $x_n = (x_1, ..., x_n)$ be an observed sample. Designate by \overline{x}_n , $\hat{\sigma}_n^2$ the sample mean and the sample variance, respectively; where

$$\overline{x}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$$
 and $\hat{\sigma}_n^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \overline{x}_n)^2$.

If x_{N-n}^{-*} and τ_{N-n}^{2} designate the mean and variance of the N-n units not in the sample then the population variance is

(3.1)
$$\sigma^2(x_N) = \frac{n}{N} \cdot \hat{\sigma}_n^2 + (1 - \frac{n}{N})\tau_{N+n}^2 + \frac{n}{N} (1 - \frac{n}{N})(\bar{x}_n - \bar{x}_{N-n}^*)^2$$
.

Formula (3.1) can be verified since total variance may be written as the average of the conditional variances plus the variance of the conditional expectations.

Estimators of the population variance are sample statistics with range in $(0,\infty)$. The most common estimators in use are the sample variance $\hat{\sigma}_n^2$ or the "unbiased estimator" $\hat{\sigma}_n^2 = \frac{n}{n-1} \hat{\sigma}_n^2$. Formula (3.1) shows that, regardless of the sampling procedure, a proper estimator of $\sigma^2(\underline{x}_N)$ can be obtained by substituting estimators of τ_{N-n}^2 and \overline{x}_{N-n}^* in (3.1). The "unbiased" estimator $\hat{\sigma}_n^2$ can be obtained from (3.1) by substituting $\overline{x}_{N-n}^* = \overline{x}_n$ and $\tau_{N-n}^2 = \frac{n}{n-1} \cdot \frac{N-n+1}{N-1} \cdot \hat{\sigma}_n^2$. As will be shown in Section 4, Bayes estimators of $\sigma^2(\underline{x}_N)$ can be obtained by substituting corresponding Bayes estimators for τ_{N-n}^2 and \overline{x}_{N-n}^* in (3.1).

3.2 Equivariant Estimators.

Following Fraser [4] we will denote by $[\alpha,\beta]$, with $-\infty < \alpha < \infty$ and $\beta \neq 0$, a real affine transformation, i.e. $[\alpha,\beta]_{\mathbb{X}} = \alpha + \beta \mathbb{X}$. Let β denote the group of all such transformations. We define $[\alpha,\beta]_{\mathbb{X}_N} = (y_1,\dots,y_N)$, where $y_i = [\alpha,\beta]_{\mathbb{X}_i}$, $i=1,\dots,N$. Every element of β transforms $\alpha^{(N)}$ into $\alpha^{(N)}$ in a 1:1 fashion. Let β be the group of transformations on the rarameter space of $\sigma^2(\mathbf{x}_N)$ induced by the elements of β . That is, if

 $[\alpha,\beta]_{x_N} = y_N$ then $\sigma^2(y_N) = \beta^2 \sigma^2(x_N)$ where β^2 is the element of \mathcal{J} corresponding to $[\alpha,\beta]$ of G. An estimator $\sigma^2(x_n)$ is called equivariant with respect to G if, for every $[\alpha,\beta] \in \mathcal{J}$

(3.2)
$$\hat{\sigma}^{2}([\alpha,\beta]x_{n}) = \beta^{2}\hat{\sigma}^{2}(x_{n}), \quad x_{n} \in x^{(n)}.$$

The sample variance $\hat{\sigma}_n^2$ is equivariant with respect to \not a. The statistic

$$u_n = \left[-\frac{\overline{x}_n}{\delta_n}, \frac{1}{\delta_n}\right]_{x_n}$$

is maximal invariant with respect to \not . Thus, every equivariant estimator of $\sigma^2(\underline{x}_N)$ can be expressed in the form

$$\sigma_{\psi}^{2}(\mathbf{x}_{n}) = \sigma_{n}^{2}\psi(\mathbf{y}_{n}),$$

where $\psi(\underline{u}_n)$ is a proper positive function of the maximal invariant statistic \underline{u}_n . For further reading on invariance structures for sampling from finite populations see Chaudhuri [3].

4. Bayes and Bayes Equivariant Estimators.

4.1 Bayes Estimators.

Let $\mathrm{H}(\underline{x}_{\mathrm{N}})$ be a prior distribution in a specified family \mathcal{H} . Let $\mathrm{L}(\hat{\sigma}^2,\sigma^2)$ denote a loss function associated with estimating $\sigma^2(\underline{x}_{\mathrm{N}})$ by $\hat{\sigma}^2(\underline{x}_{\mathrm{n}})$. An estimator $\hat{\sigma}^2_{\mathrm{H}}(\underline{x}_{\mathrm{n}})$ is Bayes with respect to $\mathrm{H}(\underline{x}_{\mathrm{N}})$ and $\mathrm{L}(\hat{\sigma}^2,\sigma^2)$ if it minimizes the prior risk function

(4.1)
$$R(\hat{\sigma}^2, H) = \int_{\mathcal{X}} L(\hat{\sigma}^2(\underline{x}_n), \sigma^2(\underline{x}_N)) dH(\underline{x}_N).$$

The following is a general result for the squared-error loss function:

If $x_1, ..., x_N$ are i.i.d. random variables having any prior distribution H(x) with a finite prior variance, σ_0^2 , then the Bayes estimator of $\sigma^2(x_N)$ is

(4.2)
$$\sigma_{B}^{2} = \frac{n}{N} \sigma_{n}^{2} + (1 - \frac{n}{N}) [\sigma_{*}^{2} + \frac{n}{N} (\bar{x}_{n} - \mu_{0})^{2}]$$
,

where $\sigma_{*}^{2} = \sigma_{0}^{2}(1 - \frac{1}{N})$ and μ_{0} is the prior expectation.

The proof of (4.2) proceeds as follows. The Bayes estimator of $\sigma^2(x_N)$, given x_n , for the squared-error loss function is the posterior expectation of (3.1). Furthermore, since the components of x_N are priorly independent

(4.3)
$$E_{H} \{ \tau_{N-n}^{2} | x_{n} \} = E_{H} \{ \tau_{N-n}^{2} \} = \frac{N-n-1}{N-n} \sigma_{0}^{2} ,$$

for any prior distribution H, having variance σ_0^2 . Moreover,

$$(4.4) \qquad \qquad E_{H}\{(\overline{x}_{n}-\overline{x}_{N-n}^{*})^{2}|\underline{x}_{n}\} = (\overline{x}_{n}-\mu_{0})^{2}+\frac{\sigma_{0}^{2}}{N-n}.$$

Substituting these expressions in (3.1) one obtains (4.2). In many situations it is not unreasonable to assume that x_1, \dots, x_N are priorly i.i.d. Hence, formula (4.2) is a very general formula, since it does not depend on the form of H(x), but only on the prior mean and variance. These values may be known from previous experience.

4.2 Bayes Equivariant Estimators.

Consider the structure of Bayes equivariant estimators. We have

$$u_{N-n}^* = \left[-\frac{x}{\sigma_n}, \frac{1}{\sigma_n} \right] x_{N-n}^*$$

where $x_{N-n}^* = (x_{n+1}, \dots, x_N)$ and u_{N-n}^* is maximal invariant with respect to x_{N-n}^* . Let v_{N-n} and v_{N-n}^2 be the mean and the variance of u_{N-n}^* . One can express the population variance in these terms in the form

(4.5)
$$\sigma^{2}(x_{N}) = \sigma_{n}^{2}\left[\frac{n}{N} + (1 - \frac{n}{N})(w_{N-n}^{2} + \frac{n}{N}v_{N-n}^{2})\right].$$

Thus, comparing (3.3) and (4.5), the ψ -function of an equivariant estimator should be chosen to estimate the function

$$D(w_{N-n}^2, v_{N-n}^2) = \frac{n}{N} + (1 - \frac{n}{N})(w_{N-n}^2 + \frac{n}{N} v_{N-n}^2).$$

Let $L(\psi,D)$ be a loss function for the estimation of $D(w_{N-n}^2,v_{N-n}^2)$ by $\psi(\underline{u}_n)$. $L(\psi,D)$ is invariant with respect to \mathcal{B} . Let $G(\underline{u}_n,\underline{u}_{N-n}^*)$ be a prior distribution induced by $H(\underline{x}_N)$. The prior risk associated with ψ and G is

$$(4.7) \qquad \qquad R(\psi,G) = \int L(\psi(\underline{u}_n),D(w_{N-n}^2,v_{N-n}^2))dG(\underline{u}_n,\underline{u}_{N-n}^*).$$

An estimator $\hat{\sigma}_n^2 \psi_G(\underline{u}_n)$ is called <u>Bayes equivariant</u> if ψ_G minimizes (4.7).

Notice that the criterion of minimizing (4.7) is the same as minimizing the Bayes risk for the quadratic loss $L(\hat{\theta}, \sigma_N^2) = (\hat{\theta} - \sigma_N^2)^2/\hat{\sigma}_N^4$, where $\hat{\theta} = \hat{\sigma}_N^2 \psi(\underline{u}_n)$.

In many applications it would be reasonable to assume that the family of prior distributions is a family with location and scale parameters. In other words, assume that all the prior distributions of ## are of the form

$$H(\frac{x_1 - x_0}{\sigma_0}, \frac{x_2 - x_0}{\sigma_0}, \dots, \frac{x_N - x_0}{\sigma_0})$$

where $-\infty < \mu_{0} < \infty$ and $0 < \sigma_{0} < \infty$. In this case the Bayes equivariant estimator depends only on the general form of $H(x_{1},\ldots,x_{N})$. Indeed, the distribution $G(u_{n},u_{N-n}^{*})$ is the same for all μ_{0} and σ_{0} of distributions in #.

Equivariant estimators in the strict sense were defined as those of the form $\sigma_n^2 \psi(\underline{u}_n)$. The Bayes estimator σ_B^2 (4.2) is thus not strictly equivariant. However, if \underline{x}_N is transformed to $[\alpha,\beta]\underline{x}_N$ the prior parameters (μ_0,σ_0) should be transformed to $[\alpha,\beta](\mu_0,\sigma_0)=(\alpha+\beta\mu_0,|\beta|\sigma_0)$. Let $\sigma_{(\mu_0,\sigma_0)}^2(\underline{x}_n)$ denote the Bayes estimator σ_B^2 with the prior parameters μ_0 and σ_0 , respectively. Then $\sigma_{(\mu_0,\sigma_0)}^2(\underline{x}_n)$ is generalized equivariant in the sense that

(4.8)
$$\hat{\sigma}_{[\alpha,\beta](\mu_0,\sigma_0)}^{2}([\alpha,\beta]_{x_n}) = \beta^2 \hat{\sigma}_{(\mu_0,\sigma_0)}^{2}(x_n),$$

for all $\infty < \alpha < \infty$, $0 < \beta < \infty$; and all x_n . Furthermore, the Bayes estimator $\sigma^2_{(\mu_0,\sigma_0)}(x_n)$ is also Bayes in the class of all generalized equivariant estimators with respect to the quadratic loss $(\hat{\theta} - \sigma_N^2)^2/\hat{\sigma}_n^4$.

4.3 Examples of Bayes Equivariant Estimators.

4.3.1 Normal Priors.

Suppose that $\mathbf{x}_1,\dots,\mathbf{x}_N$ are priorly independent and identically distributed (i.i.d.) normal variables with prior mean μ_0 and prior variance σ_0^2 . \mathcal{H} consists of all such distributions with $-\infty < \mu_0 < \infty$ and $0 < \sigma_0^2 < \infty$. Under this model the sample statistics $\overline{\mathbf{x}}_n$ and $\widehat{\sigma}_n^2$ are independent of $\tau_{N=n}^2$ and $\overline{\mathbf{x}}_{N-n}^*$. The Bayes equivariant estimation is

(4.9)
$$\hat{\sigma}_{BE}^{2}(\mathbf{x}_{n}) = \hat{\sigma}_{n}^{2}\{\frac{n}{N} + (1 - \frac{n}{N})[E\{\mathbf{w}_{N-n}^{2}|\mathbf{u}_{n}\} + \frac{n}{N}E\{\mathbf{v}_{N-n}^{2}|\mathbf{u}_{n}\}]\}.$$

We now show that w_{N-n}^2 and v_{N-n} are independent of \underline{v}_n . Indeed, by the Bayes model \underline{x}_n and \underline{x}_{N-n}^* are independent. Hence $(\overline{x}_n, \hat{\sigma}_n, \underline{v}_n)$ is independent of \underline{x}_{N-n}^* . Furthermore, $(\overline{x}_n, \hat{\sigma}_n)$ is a complete sufficient statistic for the subfamily of prior distributions of \underline{x}_n . Hence, from Basu's theorem (Basu, [1]) \underline{v}_n is independent of $(\underline{x}_{N-n}^*, \overline{x}_n, \hat{\sigma}_n)$. Finally, since \underline{v}_{N-n}^* is a function of $(\underline{x}_{N-n}^*, \overline{x}_n, \hat{\sigma}_n)$, \underline{v}_n and \underline{v}_{N-n}^* are independent. Hence,

$$(4.10) E\{w_{N-n}^2 | u_n\} = E\{w_{N-n}^2\} = \frac{n}{N-n} \cdot \frac{N-n-1}{n-1} E\{F[N-n-1,n-1]\} = \frac{n}{n-3} \cdot \frac{N-n-1}{N-n} \cdot \frac{N-n-1}{N-n} = \frac{n}{n-3} \cdot \frac{N-n$$

Similarly,

(4.11)
$$\mathbb{E}\{v_{N-n}^2 | u_n\} = \mathbb{E}\{v_{N-n}^2\} = \frac{N}{(N-n)(n-3)} .$$

Substituting these results in (4.9) we obtain as the Bayes equivariant estimator

(4.12)
$$\hat{\sigma}_{BE}^{2}(x_{n}) = \hat{\sigma}_{n}^{2}(1 - \frac{3}{N})(1 + \frac{3}{n-3}),$$

which, in large populations is close to $\Sigma_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \overline{x})^2/(n-3)$.

It is well known (see Zacks [17; pp. 346]) that the minimum mean-squared-error equivariant estimator of σ_0^2 in the i.i.d. case is $\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2/(n+1)$. On the other hand, if the loss function is the quadratic loss $(\hat{\theta} - \sigma_0^2)^2/\hat{\sigma}_n^4$ the best equivariant estimator is $\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2/(n-3)$. This confirms the above result.

4.3.2 Exponential Priors

Suppose that $x_1, ..., x_N$ are priorly i.i.d., with a common exponential distribution, with mean μ_0 (the prior variance is $\sigma_0^2 = \mu_0^2$). It is shown in [16] that the Bayes equivariant estimator is

(4.13)
$$\hat{\sigma}_{BE}^{2} = \hat{\sigma}_{n}^{2} \{ \frac{n}{N} + (1 - \frac{n}{N}) \frac{f^{2}(u_{n})n^{2}}{(n-2)(n-3)} \} + O(\frac{1}{N}),$$

where $f(\underline{v}_n) = -\min(u_1, \dots, u_n)$. Thus, in contrast to the normal case, in the exponential priors model the Bayes equivariant estimator depends on \underline{v}_n too.

5. Bayes Risk Efficiency.

In the present section we introduce an index of Bayesian efficiency of estimators of σ_N^2 . Given a prior distribution, $H(\mathbf{x}_N)$, we denote by $R(\mathring{\sigma}^2,H)$ the prior risk function of an estimator $\mathring{\sigma}^2$ under H. In the present section we consider squared-error loss, $(\mathring{\sigma}^2-\sigma_N^2)^2$, only. Generalization to quadratic loss functions can be readily attained. Since the minimal prior risk is attained by the Bayes estimator $\mathring{\sigma}_B^2$, with proper prior parameters μ_0 , σ_0^2 , we define the prior relative efficiency of an estimator $\mathring{\sigma}^2$ as

(5.1)
$$\operatorname{RE}(\hat{\sigma}^2, H) = \frac{R(\hat{\sigma}^2, H)}{R(\hat{\sigma}^2, H)}.$$

For any estimator, $0 \le RE(\hat{\sigma}^2, H) \le 1$.

5.1 Exponential Priors-Example.

We provide now a numerical example of estimating the variance of a small population, N = 100, when the population variates are priorly i.i.d. exponential random variables with expectation μ_0 = 10. The sample size is n = 10. In Table 1 we present the values of the classical and the Bayes estimators determined by 50 independent simulation runs. In each case we give also the value of σ_N^2 . We see that generally the Bayes estimator is closer to the population variance. The prior relative efficiency of $\hat{\sigma}_n^2$ against $\hat{\sigma}_B^2$ is estimated to be RE = .122. Note $\hat{R}(\hat{\sigma}_n^2)$ and $\hat{R}(\hat{\sigma}_B^2)$ are the sample estimates of the prior mean-squared-errors

 $E_H^{\{(\hat{\sigma}_n^2 - \sigma_N^2)^2\}}$ and $E_H^{\{(\hat{\sigma}_B^2 - \sigma_N^2)^2\}}$, respectively. We see in this example that the classical sample variance is very inefficient compared to the Bayes estimator. In the following example we show some analytical comparisons for the normal case.

5.2 Normal Priors.

The prior relative efficiency index (5.1) can be expressed also in the form

(5.2)
$$RE(\hat{\sigma}^2, H) = \left[1 + \frac{E_H \{ (\hat{\sigma}^2 - \hat{\sigma}_B^2)^2 \}}{E_H \{ PVR \}} \right]^{-1}$$

where PVR is the posterior variance of the Bayes estimator $\mathring{\sigma}_B^2$. In the case of prior i.i.d. normal (μ_0^2, σ_0^2) variables, one obtains

(5.3)
$$E\{PVR(H, x_n)\} = \frac{2\sigma_0^4}{N} (1-f)(1-\frac{1}{N}(1-f)) ,$$

where f = n/N. Consider the sample variance σ_n^2 . Due to the prior independence of \bar{x}_n and $\hat{\sigma}_n^2$ we obtain

(5.4)
$$\mathbb{E}\left\{\left[\hat{\sigma}_{n}^{2} - \frac{n}{N}\hat{\sigma}_{n}^{2} - (1 - \frac{1}{n})\left[\sigma_{0}^{2}(1 - \frac{1}{N}) + \frac{n}{N}(\bar{x}_{n} - \mu_{0})^{2}\right]^{2}\right\}$$

$$= (1 - \hat{r})^{2}\sigma_{0}^{4} \mathbb{E}\left\{\left[\frac{1}{n}X_{1}^{2}[n - 1] - (1 - \frac{1}{N}) - \frac{1}{N}X_{2}^{2}[1]\right]^{2}\right\},$$

where $\chi_1^2[n-1]$ and $\chi_2^2[1]$ designate independent chi-squared r.v.'s. From (5.3) and (5.4), the prior relative efficiency of σ_n^2 , relative

Table 1. 50 Independent Simulation Runs of Exponential Populations of Size N = 100. Variance Estimates are Based on Sample of Size n = 10.

i	$\sigma_n^2(i)$	$\sigma_{\mathrm{B}}^{2}(i)$	$\sigma^2_{ m N}({ t i})$	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	11.0279 25.9047 163.1974 114.8876 48.5473 24.1673	91.3822 93.5383 108.4712 102.4455 96.1006 92.6005	59.3401 99.7939 117.9033 112.7694 140.4537 95.3784	
7	233.9413	115.0390	110.2580	$\hat{R}(\hat{\sigma}_n^2) = 3887.67$
8	24.2149	92.8096	73.1228	
9	36.0928	93.7894	88.0842	
10	58.1589	95.8768	92.6123	
11	82.4155	98.2541	100.8301	
12	110.1057	101.4133	82.7390	$\hat{R}(\hat{\sigma}_{B}^{2}) = 474.90$
13	30.7288	94.2891	82.7665	
14	92.7353	99.3392	66.7146	
15	14.1656	92.9971	100.5613	
16	30.6368	93.1324	87.0248	
17	75.4294	97.7225	74.1037	$RE(\hat{\sigma}_{n}^{2}, H) = .12216$
18	123.1242	102.9664	104.8201	
19	34.9740	95.1125	86.4942	
20	226.5559	116.6118	118.4538	
21	16.9147	92.2562	134.9088	
22	98.5459	100.9711	72.2479	
23	48.8585	94.9472	134.9985	
24	54.3939	95.4909	114.8043	
25	52.1598	95.2457	158.8236	
26	55.5302	95.6501	79.0303	
27	171.1030	107.1958	87.0796	
28	105.0818	100.6807	128.0554	
29	17.5409	92.9367	95.6511	
30	23.1610	93.2519	94.2407	
31	116.6341	101.8029	118.2461	
32	27.0976	94.1436	74.1948	
33	69.4181	98.1458	101.3439	
34	20.7325	93.0247	98.1385	
35	191.2939	112.5688	121.3687	
36	199.9331	110.6755	105.3757	
37	83.3183	98.5574	67.8614	
38	17.2250	95.0543	77.5798	
39	218.7134	112.1939	108.5281	
40	30.0034	93.1121	83.3298	
41	34.1185	93.4987	80.7266	
42	32.7168	93.6423	106.1845	
43	50.9459	95.3254	77.0860	
44	41.4210	94.8028	64.2112	
45	36.6681	94.1386	75.0202	
46	22.9323	93.6664	88.6936	
47	41.4523	94.1452	64.5216	15
48	149.5766	106.0161	99.7121	
49	19.2172	101.9416	79.8605	
50	64.9260	96.6517	60.5816	

to the prior normal distributions is:

(5.5)
$$\operatorname{RE}(\hat{\sigma}_{n}^{2}, H) = \left[1 + \frac{N(1-f)\left[\frac{3}{N^{2}} + (1-\frac{1}{N})^{2} - (1-\frac{1}{Nf})^{2}\right]}{2(1-\frac{1}{N}(1-f))}\right]^{-1}$$

The relative efficiency function is independent of the prior parameters, since σ_0 is a scale parameter of the distribution. We therefore provide in the following table some relative efficiency values as functions of the sample fraction f and the population size, N.

Table 2. The Prior Relative Efficiency of $\overset{\wedge}{\sigma}_n^2$.

N\f	0.10	0.25	0.50	0.75
100. 200. 300. 400. 500. 600. 700. 800. 900.	0.114 0.112 0.111 0.111 0.111 0.111 0.110 0.110 0.110	0.310 0.309 0.308 0.308 0.308 0.308 0.308 0.308 0.308	0.666 0.666 0.666 0.666 0.666 0.667 0.667 0.667	0.921 0.922 0.922 0.922 0.923 0.923 0.923 0.923 0.923

From Table 2 the prior relative efficiency of σ_n^2 is almost independent of the population size N and is somewhat greater than the sample fraction, f. These numerical results show the extent of possible improvement in estimation if good information is available on the prior distribution.

In order to analyze the extent of errors in the prior assumptions concerning the values of μ_0 and σ_0 we derive, on the basis of (5.2), the prior relative efficiencies of $\hat{\sigma}_{\mu_1,\sigma_1}^2(\underline{x}_n)$, under (μ_0,σ_0) . It is a straightforward matter to show that the prior relative efficiency of $\hat{\sigma}_{\mu_1,\sigma_1}^2(\underline{x}_n)$ is

(5.6)
$$RE(\hat{\sigma}_{H}^{2}, H) = \left[1 + \frac{N(1-f)[(\rho-1+f\delta^{2})^{2}+4f^{2}\frac{\delta^{2}}{n}]}{2(1-\frac{1}{N}(1-f))}\right]^{-1},$$

where $\rho = \sigma_1^2/\sigma_0^2$ and $\delta = (\mu_1 - \mu_0)/\sigma_0$

In Table 3 we present the prior relative efficiency of the Bayes estimator $\hat{\sigma}_{H^1}^2(\mathbf{x}_n)$ as a function of f, & and $\lambda=\rho-1$, where H' is the $N(\mu_1,\sigma_1^2)$ distribution. We see that the magnitude of δ is not so important, but deviations from σ_0 larger in magnitude than 10 percent reduce the prior relative efficiency below that of $\hat{\sigma}_n^2$. In Table 4 we provide these prior relative efficiency values for values of λ between -7.5% to 7.5%. We see that in this range the Bayes estimator is considerably more efficient than the classical sample variance.

Table 3. The Prior Relative Efficiency of $\mathring{\sigma}_{H}^{2}$, (\mathbf{x}_{n}) , N=1,000

	Sample Fraction = .10						
δ λ 50 40 30 20 10 00 0.10 0.20 0.30 0.40 0.50	30 0.028 0.027 0.026 0.025 0.024 0.024 0.024 0.025 0.026 0.027	20 0.067 0.061 0.057 0.055 0.053 0.053 0.053 0.055 0.057 0.061 0.067	10 0.279 0.238 0.211 0.194 0.185 0.182 0.135 0.194 0.211 0.238 0.279	0.00 0.754 0.874 0.950 0.986 0.998 1.000 0.998 0.986 0.950 0.874 0.754	0.10 0.124 0.141 0.157 0.170 0.179 0.182 0.179 0.170 0.157 0.141 0.124	0.20 0.042 0.045 0.048 0.051 0.052 0.053 0.052 0.051 0.048 0.045	0.30 0.021 0.022 0.023 0.023 0.024 0.024 0.024 0.023 0.023 0.023
		5	Sample F	raction :	25		
8\\\\5040302010 .00 0.10 0.20 0.30 0.40 0.50	30 0.045 0.038 0.033 0.031 0.029 0.029 0.029 0.031 0.033 0.038 0.045	20 0.122 0.094 0.078 0.069 0.064 0.062 0.064 0.069 0.078 0.094	10 0.617 0.415 0.304 0.247 0.219 0.210 0.219 0.247 0.304 0.415 0.617	0.00 0.391 0.602 0.817 0.950 0.994 1.000 0.994 0.950 0.817 0.602 0.391	0.10 0.091 0.119 0.150 0.180 0.202 0.210 0.202 0.180 0.150 0.119 0.091	0.20 0.037 0.044 0.051 0.057 0.061 0.062 0.061 0.057 0.051 0.044 0.037	0.30 0.020 0.023 0.025 0.027 0.028 0.029 0.028 0.027 0.025 0.023 0.020
Sample Fraction = .50							
5\\\\50\\40\\30\\20\\10\\ 0.00\\ 0.10\\ 0.20\\ 0.30\\ 0.40\\ 0.50\\	30 0.114 0.076 0.058 0.048 0.044 0.043 0.044 0.058 0.058 0.076	20 0.395 0.214 0.142 0.110 0.095 0.095 0.110 0.142 0.214 0.395	10 0.780 0.847 0.555 0.382 0.307 0.286 0.307 0.382 0.555 0.847 0.780	0.00 0.199 0.373 0.645 0.893 0.989 1.000 0.989 0.893 0.645 0.199	0.10 0.073 0.109 0.159 0.216 0.266 0.266 0.266 0.159 0.109 0.073	0.20 0.036 0.048 0.062 0.076 0.087 0.091 0.087 0.076 0.062 0.048 0.036	0.30 0.022 0.027 0.032 0.038 0.041 0.043 0.041 0.038 0.032 0.027

Table 4. Prior Relative Efficiency of $\hat{\sigma}_{H^1}^2(\mathbf{x}_n)$, $\mathbb{N}=1,000$.

Sample Fraction = .10							
8\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	075 0.461 0.385 0.336 0.305 0.288 0.283 0.288 0.305 0.336 0.385 0.461	050 0.754 0.645 0.564 0.510 0.480 0.470 0.480 0.510 0.564 0.645 0.754	025 0.957 0.939 0.884 0.829 0.793 0.780 0.793 0.829 0.884 0.939	0.000 0.754 0.874 0.950 0.986 0.998 1.000 0.998 0.986 0.950 0.874 0.754	0.025 0.461 0.560 0.651 0.722 0.766 0.780 0.766 0.722 0.651 0.560 0.461	0.050 0.279 0.334 0.387 0.431 0.460 0.470 0.460 0.431 0.387 0.334 0.279	0.075 0.180 0.210 0.238 0.262 0.278 0.283 0.278 0.262 0.238 0.262 0.238 0.210
	Sample Fraction = .25						
8\X 50 40 30 20 10 00 0.10 0.20 0.30 0.40 0.50	075 0.868 0.658 0.484 0.385 0.336 0.321 0.336 0.385 0.484 0.658 0.868	050 0.868 0.911 0.759 0.619 0.540 0.516 0.540 0.619 0.759 0.911 0.868	025 0.617 0.874 0.965 0.910 0.838 0.810 0.888 0.910 0.965 0.874 0.617	0.000 0.391 0.602 0.817 0.950 0.994 1.000 0.994 0.950 0.817 0.602 0.391	0.025 0.252 0.378 0.532 0.678 0.777 0.810 0.777 0.678 0.523 0.378 0.252	0.050 0.171 0.244 0.333 0.423 0.491 0.516 0.491 0.423 0.333 0.244 0.171	0.075 0.122 0.166 0.217 0.268 0.307 0.321 0.307 0.268 0.217 0.166 0.122
Sample Fraction = .50							
8\ \(\lambda\)5040302010v00 0.10 0.20 0.30 0.40 0.50	075 0.571 0.921 0.787 0.573 0.448 0.415 0.448 0.563 0.787 0.921 0.571	050 0.395 0.766 0.951 0.803 0.662 0.615 0.662 0.803 0.951 0.766 0.395	0.025 0.276 0.544 0.873 0.974 0.905 0.865 0.905 0.974 0.873 0.544 0.276	0.000 0.199 0.373 0.645 0.893 0.989 1.000 0.989 0.893 0.645 0.373 0.199	0.025 0.148 0.261 0.440 0.655 0.813 0.863 0.813 0.655 0.440 0.261 0.148	0.050 0.114 0.188 0.303 0.445 0.568 0.615 0.568 0.445 0.303 0.188 0.114	0.075 0.090 0.141 0.215 0.305 0.384 0.415 0.305 0.384 0.305 0.214 0.141

References

- [1] Basu, D. (1955), "On statistics independent of complete sufficient statistic."
- [2] Basu, D. (1969), "The role of sufficiency and the likelihood principles in sample survey theory." Sankhya, A, 31: 441-454.
- [3] Chaudhuri, A. (1977), "Some applications of the principles of Bayesian sufficiency and invariance to inference problems with finite populations," Sankhya, Sample Surveys; Theory and Practice, 39, Series C: 140-149.
- [4] Fraser, D.A.S. (1968), The Structure of Statistical Inference, John Wiley and Sons, New York.
- [5] Godambe, V.P. (1955), "A unified theory of sampling from finite populations," J.R. Statist. Soc., B, 17: 268-278.
- [6] Godambe, V.P. (1965), "Contributions to the unified theory of sampling from finite populations, Int. Stat. Rev., 33: 242-258.
- [7] Godambe, V.P. (1966), "A new approach to sampling from finite populations," J.R. Stat. Soc., B, 28: 310-328.
- [8] Lindley, D.V. (1972), "Bayes estimates for the linear model," J. Roy. Statist. Soc., B, 34: 1-42.
- [9] Liu, T.P. (1974), "Bayes estimation for the variance of a finite population," Metrika, 21: 127-132.
- [10] Royall, R.M. (1971), "Linear regression models in finite population sampling," <u>Foundations Of Statistical Inference</u> (V.P. Godambe and D.A. Sprott, Ed.), Holt Rinehart and Winston of Canada, Toronto.
- [11] Royall, R.M. (1976), "The linear least-squares prediction approach to two-stage sampling," <u>Jour. Amer. Statist. Assoc.</u>, 71: 657-664.

- [12] Royall, R.M. and Cumberland, W.G. (1978), "Variance estimation in finite population sampling," <u>Jour. Amer. Statist. Assoc.</u>, 73: 351-358.
- [13] Solomon, H. and Zacks, S. (1970), "Optimal designs of sampling from finite populations: A critical review and indication of new research areas," Jour. Amer. Statist. Assoc., 65: 653-677.
- [14] Smith, A.F.M. (1973), "A general Bayesian linear model," J. Roy. Statist. Assoc., B, 35: 67-75.
- [15] Zacks, S. (1969), "Bayes sequential designs of fixed size samples from finite populations," <u>Jour. Amer. Statist. Assoc.</u>, 64: 1342-1349.
- [16] Zacks, S. (1978), "Bayes equivariant estimators of the variance of a finite population for exponential priors," Tech. Report No. 33, ONR Project NR 042-276, Department of Math. and Statist., Case Western Reserve University.
- [17] Zacks, S. (1971), The Theory Of Statistical Inference, John Wiley, New York.

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Date Entered)

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE	READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
REPORT NUMBER 2. GOVT ACCES #29	SION NO. 3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
Bayes and Equivariant Estimators of the Variance of a Finite Population	5. Type of Report & Period Covered TECHNICAL REPORT 6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
S. Zacks and H. Solomon	DAAG29-77-G-0031 NR00014-75-C-0529
Department of Statistics Stanford University Stanford, CA 94305	P-14435-M & NR-042-276
U.S. Army Research Office Post Office Box 12211 Research Triangle Park, NC 27709 14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS/II dillerent from Controllin	12. REPORT DATE February 21, 1979 13. NUMBER OF PAGES 21 2 Office) 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) UNCLASSIFIED 15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE

16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)

Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited.

- 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)
- 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES

The findings in this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position, unless so designated by other authorized documents. This report partially supported under Office of Naval Research Contract NOOO14-76-C-0475 (NR-042-267) and issued as Technical Report No.268 Also, partially supported under Contract NOOO14-75-C-0529 (NR-042-276) Case Western Reserve University.

19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)

Sampling surveys, Bayes estimators of variance, equivariant estimators, Bayes - equivariant estimators, prior relative efficiency.

20. ABSTRACT (Continue on severee side if necessary and identify by block number)

Please see reverse side.

Abstract. Bayes and Equivariant Estimators of the Variance of a Finite Population

The problem of estimating the variance of a finite population is studied in a Bayesian framework. On the basis of the modern theoretical approach to sampling from finite populations and the special structure of the likelihood functions Bayes estimators of the population variance are derived. The structure of equivariant estimators is analyzed and Bayes equivariant estimators in the strict and the generalized sense are derived. Posterior and prior efficiency of the estimators is discussed.

#268/#29